IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

MICHAEL J. HOLLAND, <u>et al</u> .,)	
Plaintiffs,)	
vs.)	Cause No. 4:08 CV 707-HEA (Consolidated with
CITY OF GERALD, MISSOURI, <u>et al</u> .,)	Cause No. 4:08 CV 713-CDP)
Defendants.)	
)	

PLAINTIFFS' THIRD AMENDED MOTION FOR AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES

COME NOW Plaintiffs, by and through counsel, Robert Herman of Schwartz, Herman & Davidson, and for their renewed motion for attorney fees and costs state to the Court as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs Heather Holland, Steve Holland, Glenda Kelley-Holland, Betty Jarvis, Alex Borges, Jonathan Wright, Marie Wright, Tom Wright, Daniel Kern, Calvin Christman, Cheryl Christman, Joshua Davis, and Michael Orzech are the prevailing Plaintiffs in the above-captioned action.
- 2. Prevailing Plaintiffs previously filed their Motions for Attorneys' Fees and Litigation Expenses on March 23, 2012 [Doc. 269], March 30, 2012 [Doc. 275] and supplemental motion for attorney's fees on June 13, 2012, [Doc. 299].
 - 3. Prevailing Plaintiffs filed their Bill of Costs [Doc. 274] on March 30, 2012.
- 4. Pursuant to this Court's Order of July 19, 2012 [Doc. 302], Prevailing Plaintiffs have made further detailed review of the original attorney fee submission and

bill of costs, and have eliminated all duplicative time and, in as much detail as is possible, have designated which time and costs are applicable to individual prevailing plaintiffs, which time and costs are applicable to all prevailing plaintiffs as a whole, and which time and costs are applicable to the non-prevailing plaintiffs, the results of which are itemized in **Exhibit 1** attached hereto. and **Exhibit 1A**, attached to Prevailing Plaintiffs' Bill of Costs filed herewith.

- 5. All Plaintiffs' claims involved a common core of facts and were based on related legal theories. Prevailing Plaintiffs' attorneys devoted the great majority of their time to the litigation as a whole, thus making it impossible to divide all hours expended on a claim-by-claim or plaintiff-by-plaintiff basis. *See*, *Lash v. Hollis*, 525 F.3d 636, 642 (8th Cir. 2008) ("When a plaintiff has prevailed on some claims but not on others, the plaintiff may be compensated for time spent on unsuccessful claims that were related to his successful claims, but not for time spent on unsuccessful claims that were 'distinct in all respects from his successful claims. *** Claims are related, and hence deserving of compensation, if they 'involve a common core of facts' or are 'based on related legal theories.' " (quoting *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 435, 103 S.Ct. 1933)). However, in an attempt to comply with this Court's Order, the attorney fee submission has been detailed according to the following procedures:
 - a. Where it was possible to specify tasks associated with an individual
 prevailing plaintiff, such as a meeting with that prevailing plaintiff alone or
 the collection of medical records specifically applicable to that plaintiff,
 that time is designated as applicable to the individual;

- b. Where a single task was generally applicable to all plaintiffs and the number of plaintiffs did not impact the amount of time expended on the task, the time expended is designated as generally applicable to all prevailing plaintiffs;
- c. Where the number of plaintiffs did impact the amount of time expended on a repetitive task, a percentage of the time expended is allocated to prevailing plaintiffs on the basis of the number of Prevailing Plaintiffs that counsel represented at the time of the task;
- d. Where a single task was specific to a non-prevailing plaintiff, such as the collection of records for that specific non-prevailing plaintiff, a meeting or conference with that specific non-prevailing plaintiff, the preparation for and deposition of that particular non-prevailing plaintiff, it is so designated.
- 6. The results of the allocation of time show the following results:

	Total Time	Time for Prevailing Plaintiffs	Time for Non-Prevailing Plaintiffs
Attorneys:			
Robert Herman	1161.7	1107.2	54.5
Zachary Armfield	29.2	11.2	18.0
Paul W. Lore	6.0	6.0	0.0
Roger Goldman	1.5	1.5	0.0
Attorney Totals:	1198.4	1125.9	72.5
Paralegals:			
Sheila K. Blohm	287.9	211.8	76.1
Jamie Kalliongis	19.1	9.2	9.9
Amanda Mullaney	36.1	16.6	19.5
Peter McCall	128.0	76.1	51.9
Laura Miller	34.2	31.8	2.4

Paralegal Totals:	910.5	748.3	162.2
Charley Molloy	157.8	157.8	0.0
Jillian Nichols	247.4	245.0	2.4

- 7. In compliance with this Court's order of July 19, 2012 [Doc. 302], counsel for Defendants McCrary and Ramsey have informed the Court that they spent a total of 2,188 attorney hours in defense of this case, exclusive of paralegal and administrative support. Counsel for Defendant Jakob has not yet disclosed the number of attorney hours spent in defense of the case. The total number of hours spent by the defense attorneys in this case demonstrates that the 1,125.9 hours spent by Plaintiffs' counsel in the prosecution of this case was reasonable.
- 8. As detailed in the previous motions filed [Docs. 269, 275, and 299] supported with affidavits from local attorneys familiar with the local market rate for attorneys and citations to awards of attorney fees made by this judicial circuit, the reasonable billing rates are: Attorneys Robert Herman and Roger Goldman at \$450/Hr.; Attorney Paul W. Lore at \$200/Hr.; and, Attorney Zachary Armfield at \$150/Hr.; Paralegal Sheila K. Blohm at \$90/Hr.; and Paralegals Jamie Kalliongis, Amanda Mullaney, Peter McCall, Laura Miller, Jillian Nichols, and Charley Molloy at \$75/Hr.
 - 9. Pursuant to *Hensley v. Eckerhardt*, 461 U.S. 424 (1983) the lodestar is:

Time for Prevailing Plaintiffs		Hourly Rate		Total
1107.2	X	\$450.00	=	\$498,249.00
11.2	X	\$150.00	=	\$1,680.00
6.0	X	\$200.00	=	\$1,200.00
1.5	X	\$450.00	=	\$675.00
	Prevailing Plaintiff 1107.2 11.2 6.0	Prevailing Plaintiffs 1107.2 x 11.2 x 6.0 x	Prevailing Plaintiffs Hourly Rate 1107.2 x \$450.00 11.2 x \$150.00 6.0 x \$200.00	Prevailing Plaintiffs Hourly Rate 1107.2 x \$450.00 = 11.2 x \$150.00 = 6.0 x \$200.00 =

Attorneys:	1125.9	X	RATE	=	\$501,804.00
Paralegals:					
Sheila K. Blohm	211.8	X	\$90.00	=	\$19,062.00
Jamie Kalliongis	9.2	X	\$75.00	=	\$690.00
Amanda Mullaney	16.6	X	\$75.00	=	\$1,245.00
Peter McCall	76.1	X	\$75.00	=	\$5,707.50
Laura Miller	31.8	X	\$75.00	=	\$2,385.00
Jillian Nichols	245.0	X	\$75.00	=	\$18,375.00
Charley Molloy	157.8	X	\$75.00	=	\$11,835.00
Paralegals:	748.3	X	RATE	=	\$59,299.50
GRAND TOTALS:	1874.2	X	RATE	=	\$561,103.50

10. Plaintiffs attach as **Exhibit 2** an affidavit from Prevailing Plaintiff Alex Borges in support of counsel's application for attorney fees. Prevailing Plaintiffs all stress that the reason for engaging in this suit was to prosecute the violation of their constitutional rights and send a message to the City Fathers of the City of Gerald. They acknowledge that counsel did not promise them any great financial reward, nor did they expect such. Prevailing Plaintiffs are satisfied that they were vindicated by the results of this suit. Prevailing Plaintiffs support the fee application made by Plaintiffs' counsel.

WHEREFORE, Prevailing Plaintiffs pray this Court grant their Motion for Award of Attorneys' Fees in the amount of \$561,103.50, and Litigation Expenses in the amount of \$10,737.61.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHWARTZ, HERMAN & DAVIDSON

By: /s/ Robert Herman

Robert Herman, #32376MO

8820 Ladue Road

Suite 201

St. Louis, Missouri 63124

Ph: 314/862-0200 Fx: 314/862-3050

e-mail: bherman@laduelaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on <u>August 9, 2012</u>, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed electronically with the Clerk of Court to be served by operation of the Court's electronic filing system upon the following counsel of record:

William A. Hellmich, Esq.

King, Krehbiel, & Hellmich, LLC

2000 South Hanley Road St. Louis, Missouri 63144

Ph: 314/646-1110 Fx: 314/646-1122

e-mail: bhellmich@kkhhb.com

Attorneys for Defendants, City of Gerald, MO; Otis Schulte; Brad Landwehr, Rich

Johnson; David Luechtefeld; Dan

Maxwell; and, Derrick Lewis; and

Defendants, Ryan McCrary,

Scott Ramsey and Shannon Kestermont, in

their official capacities

Peter J. Dunne, Esq.

Peter Rohrich, Esq.

Pitzer Snodgrass, P.C.

100 South Fourth Street

Suite 400

St. Louis, Missouri 63102-1821

Ph: 314/421-5545 Fx: 314/421-3144

 $e\text{-mail: } \underline{dunne@pspclaw.com}$

e-mail: rohrich@pspclaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants, Ryan McCrary, Scott Ramsey and Shannon Kestermont

Renee Waters, Esq.

P.O. Box 190549

St. Louis, Missouri 63119

Ph: 314/647-1200

e-mail: <u>zoole@sbcglobal.net</u> e-mail: <u>renee_waters@me.com</u> Attorney for Defendant Bill A. Jakob

By: /s/ Robert Herman